TOEROEK ASSOCIATES, INC.

July 26, 2018



Mr. Brian Mitchell
Task Order Contracting Officer Representative
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 (EPA Region 7)
11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, KS 66219

Subject: Monitoring Well Installation and Groundwater Sampling Event

Final Report of Findings

Former Electrolux, Inc. Facility, Jefferson, Iowa

Contract No. EP-W-13-002, Task Order 035, Technical Directive No. 8

Dear Mr. Mitchell:

The Toeroek Associates, Inc. team is pleased to submit the Final Monitoring Well Installation and Groundwater Sampling Event Report of Findings at the former Electrolux, Inc. facility in Jefferson, Iowa. Revisions were made in accordance with comments received July 24, 2018.

Please call me at (816) 412-1760 if you have any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely,

Lauren Holt

Lauren Holt

Task Order 35, Technical Directive No. 8 Manager

Attachment

cc: Kristy Throckmorton, Regional Task Order Contracting Officer

Representative (cover letter only)

Paul Kieler, Toeroek Team Program Manager (cover letter only) Kathy Homer, Toeroek Team Regional Manager (cover letter only)

File

RCRA 7/26/2018

574877

300 Union Blvd., Suite 520 Lakewood, CO 80228 303-420-7735 Fax: 303-420-7658

FORMER ELECTROLUX, INC. FACILITY JEFFERSON, IOWA MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION AND GROUNDWATER SAMPLING EVENT FINAL REPORT OF FINDINGS

PREPARED FOR

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7

Task Order No. : 035
Technical Directive No. : 8
EPA Region : 7

Date Prepared : July 26, 2018
Contract No. : EP-W-13-002
Prepared by : Toeroek Team
Toeroek Team TD Mgr. : Lauren Holt
Telephone Number : (816) 412-1760
EPA TOCOR : Brian Mitchell
Telephone No. : (913) 551-7633

CONTENTS

Section	<u>P</u>	age
EXEC	TTIVE SUMMARY	iii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 PURPOSE OF REPORT	
2.0	SITE BACKGROUND	2
	2.1 SITE LOCATION	2
3.0	SITE ACTIVITIES	4
	3.1 WELL INSTALLATIONS	6 7 7
4.0	ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY	9
	4.1 GROUNDWATER SAMPLE RESULTS	
5.0	DEVIATIONS FROM THE SAP AND QAPP	10
6.0	CONCLUSIONS	11
7.0	REFERENCES	12

CONTENTS (Continued)

APPENDICES

Appen	<u>dix</u>
Α	FIGURES
В	PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
C	FIELD LOGBOOK
D	FIELD SHEETS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY
E	ANALYTICAL DATA
F	BORING LOGS
G	MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FORMS
Н	MONITORING WELL DEVELOPMENT FORMS
I	MONITORING WELL SURVEY DATA
	TABLES
<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS6
2	SUMMARY OF SAMPLES7
3	SUMMARY OF SAMPLE RESULTS9

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Toeroek Associates, Inc. (Toeroek) Team received Task Order No. 035 from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), under Contract No. EP-W-13-002, to provide assistance to Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) state and federal program staff in EPA Region 7. Specifically, under Technical Directive No. 8 in Option Year 4 for this task order, EPA Region 7 requested that the Toeroek Team (which includes the Toeroek Team subcontractor Tetra Tech, Inc. [Tetra Tech]), as part of a groundwater sampling investigation, install two permanent monitoring wells downgradient of the former Electrolux, Inc. (Electrolux) facility (the facility) in Jefferson, Iowa.

Investigation activities occurred May 21 through 25, 2018. The purpose of the sampling investigation was to determine if facility-related contamination had migrated downgradient toward City of Jefferson municipal water supply wells.

The Toeroek Team collected groundwater samples from the two newly installed monitoring wells downgradient of the facility. Based on data acquired during the sampling investigation, no facility-related contamination was found; however, minor amounts of chloroform were detected in samples collected at MW-1 (3.1 micrograms per liter [μ g/L]) and MW-2 (6.4J μ g/L and 8.1 μ g/L [field duplicate]). In no sample did the chloroform concentration exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 80 μ g/L. The chloroform may be attributed to the use of chlorinated municipal water during the drilling process, or it could have been a laboratory contaminant.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Toeroek Associates, Inc. (Toeroek) Team received Task Order No. 035 from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), under Contract No. EP-W-13-002, to provide assistance to Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) state and federal program staff in EPA Region 7. Specifically, under Technical Directive No. 8 in Option Year 4 for this task order, EPA Region 7 requested that the Toeroek Team (which includes the Toeroek Team subcontractor Tetra Tech, Inc. [Tetra Tech]), as part of the groundwater sampling investigation, install two permanent monitoring wells downgradient of the former Electrolux, Inc., (Electrolux) facility (the facility) in Jefferson, Iowa (see Appendix A, Figure 1).

As directed by the Technical Directive Performance Work Statement for Technical Directive No. 3 in Option Year 3 for this task order, the Toeroek Team had previously developed a Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) and Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for the groundwater sampling investigation near the facility. Subsequent field implementation of the SAP and QAPP was completed in conformance to Technical Directive No. 8 in Option Year 4 for this task order. This report summarizes facility background information, field sampling techniques, and analytical results from the EPA Region 7 laboratory.

1.1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

The intent of this report is to chronicle installation, development, and sampling of two permanent monitoring wells downgradient of the facility. The purpose of the sampling investigation was to determine if potential facility-related contamination had migrated downgradient toward City of Jefferson municipal wells.

1.2 REPORT ORGANIZATION

The format of this report complies with requirements outlined in Section 1.0 of the Toeroek Team's "Programmatic Quality Assurance Project Plan, Revision 00," prepared for EPA Region 7 under Contract No. EP-W-13-002 (Toeroek 2013). The report is organized as follows: Section 1.0 presents introductory information, Section 2.0 discusses facility background, Section 3.0 describes site activities, Section 4.0 discusses analytical data, Section 5.0 identifies any deviations from the SAP and QAPP, Section 6.0 summarizes conclusions, and Section 7.0 lists references cited in the report.

2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

This section briefly describes the facility location and demographics, the history of facility operations and regulatory history, and physical conditions in the area of the facility.

2.1 SITE LOCATION

The former Electrolux facility is at 601 East Central Avenue in Jefferson, Greene County, Iowa. The facility lies within the southeast quarter of Section 5, Township 83 North, Range 30 West (see Appendix A, Figure 1). The facility occupies an approximately 20.75-acre parcel zoned for industrial use (Greene County, Iowa Assessor's Office 2016).

2.2 HISTORICAL SITE USE AND PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

The 20.75-acre Electrolux property formerly included a 75,500-square-foot facility used for manufacture of dishwasher motor transmissions from 1960 until decommissioned in March 2011. The manufacturing building was demolished; all that now remains is a 7.5-acre area of concrete building slabs, parking lots, fencing, and sidewalks where manufacturing activities previously occurred (see Appendix A, Figure 2). In 2010, Electrolux began to assess possible presence of subsurface contamination derived from manufacturing activities. A phased site assessment approach was followed from 2010 through 2013 to assess facility subsurface soil and groundwater conditions downgradient of and in areas exterior to the former manufacturing area. Additional groundwater monitoring occurred in 2014, and a Site Assessment and Summary Report that included a conceptual site model was completed in October 2016 (Golder Associates, Inc. [Golder] 2016).

Results from the site assessments indicated that soil and groundwater at the facility were contaminated with chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOC), primarily trichloroethene (TCE) and its breakdown constituents, within glacial tills (identified between 0 and 40 feet below ground surface [bgs]). CVOC-impacted soils were found only within the footprint of the former facility and adjacent landscaped areas, within 1 to 7 feet bgs. Highest concentrations of CVOCs in groundwater were detected in the yellow brown till within approximately 30 to 40 feet bgs near the southeast portion of the former facility. The October 2016 Site Assessment report concluded that natural attenuation and chlorinated degradation were occurring at the facility, and that the extent of contamination was confined within Electrolux property boundaries. Sources of volatile organic compound (VOC) contamination at the facility are believed to be former manufacturing operations within the eastern portion of the facility (Golder 2016).

An additional investigation completed in April 2017 included collection of groundwater samples at downgradient locations by use of a direct-push technology (DPT) drilling rig. No VOCs were detected in any of the samples collected during the sampling event. (Toeroek 2017).

2.3 PHYSICAL SETTING

The former Electrolux facility is in an industrial and agricultural area on the northeast side of Jefferson, Iowa. Within the fenced perimeter of the former facility property is a mix of concrete building slabs, sidewalks, paved parking lots, and landscaped areas. The facility is bordered to the north by East Central Avenue, east by agricultural cropland, and south and west by Union Pacific Railroad tracks. Adjacent properties to the north and east are agricultural, and include several grain storage and processing facilities. Properties to the south and west are primarily agricultural and residential.

The facility is at a surface elevation of approximately 1,050 feet above mean sea level. Regional topography slopes to the south-southeast toward Hardin Creek (U.S. Geological Survey [USGS] 1986).

Documents obtained from the Jefferson Water Department Source Water Protection Plan indicate that the southwest portion of the former facility is within the 10-year capture zone of four of the six Jefferson water supply wells screened in a Pleistocene sand and gravel complex at approximately 150 feet bgs (Tetra Tech 2016).

3.0 SITE ACTIVITIES

The Toeroek team installed two permanent monitoring wells and conducted sampling activities downgradient of the facility from May 21 through 25, 2018, to determine if potential facility-related contamination had migrated downgradient toward City of Jefferson municipal wells. The SAP and QAPP called for installation and development of two permanent monitoring wells, collection of two groundwater samples from each well using micro-purge ("low-flow") sampling methodology, and a survey of each well to determine accurate global positioning system (GPS) coordinates, as well as elevations of the ground surface and top of casing in feet above mean sea level (AMSL).

The following sections describe sampling investigation activities. Photographic documentation is in Appendix B, and a site-specific field logbook is in Appendix C.

3.1 WELL INSTALLATIONS

EPA tasked the Toeroek team to install two permanent monitoring wells in order to determine if potential contamination from the former Electrolux facility has migrated downgradient and into the Pleistocene sand and gravel complex that supplies the City of Jefferson municipal water wells at approximately 150 feet bgs.

Drilling, installation, and development of the monitoring wells was completed by Cascade Drilling, L.P. of Schofield, Wisconsin, by use of rotary sonic technology. Well construction consisted of 2-inch inner diameter (I.D.), schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) risers and screens. Screen lengths were 10 feet with 0.01-inch slot size. Sand pack consisted of Red Flint #40-mesh silica sand. Cetco 3/8" bentonite pellets were used to seal the wells, and a Portland cement and bentonite grout mixture was added to approximately 1 foot bgs. All wells were flush-mount completed.

Well Installation

MW-1 was installed within the city right-of-way approximately 20 feet east of North Cedar Street and 100 feet south of the Union Pacific Railroad. The boring for MW-1 was advanced entirely via rotary sonic technology to total depth of 150 feet bgs. The boring was backfilled with bentonite to 100 feet bgs, with an additional 2 feet of #40-mesh silica sand, before placement of the screen from 88 to 98 feet bgs in a silty, clayey, well-sorted medium to coarse-grained sand.

MW-2 was installed within the city right-of-way on the north side of East Adams Street approximately 1,700 feet east of North Cedar Street. The boring for MW-2 was advanced entirely via rotary sonic technology to total depth of 150 feet bgs. The boring was backfilled with bentonite to 134 feet bgs, with an additional 2 feet of #40-mesh silica sand, before placement of the screen from 123 to 133 feet bgs in very fine to fine-grained buff sand.

Well Development

After allowance of 24 hours for the grout to set, the monitoring wells were developed via a combination of pumping and surging. Primary goals of the well development process were to (1) remove water used during the drilling process; (2) ensure that groundwater could pass through well screens unobstructed, thereby generating representative groundwater samples and accurate water level measurements; and (3) remove very fine-grained particles from the filter pack and surrounding subsurface sediments to prevent siltation of the wells and to preclude turbidity in future groundwater samples.

A submersible purging pump was used to develop each well. The pump was lowered to a position approximately 3 feet above the bottom of the well. At 10-minute intervals, the pump was pulled about 20 feet toward the surface and re-lowered to surge the well. Development would continue until the volumes of water added to the augers in the course of drilling had been removed, the water was visually clear, and water quality testing parameters (temperature, conductivity, pH, dissolved oxygen [DO], oxidation-reduction potential [ORP], and turbidity) had stabilized within 10 percent in three consecutive readings. Total volumes of water added during the drilling process were not removed from MW-1 and MW-2. Water quality testing parameters were not collected at MW-1 due to low recharge rate. Further details regarding these deviations are provided in Section 5 of this report.

Bolton & Menk of Jefferson, Iowa was subcontracted to survey horizontal and vertical coordinates of the newly installed wells following completion. Table 1 lists well numbers, well depths, screen intervals, and survey data pertaining to the newly installed monitoring wells.

TABLE 1

MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS FORMER ELECTROLUX, INC. FACILITY – JEFFERSON, IOWA

XX/all	Well	Screen	Loc	cation	Elevation
Well Number	Depth (ft bgs)	Interval (ft bgs)	Latitude	Longitude	Ground (ft amsl)
MW-1	98	88-98	42° 1' 21.77881"	- 94° 22' 9.47792"	1186.93
MW-2	132	123-133	42° 1' 5.92272"	- 94° 21' 47.99284"	1189.18

Notes:

amsl Above mean sea level

bgs Below ground surface

ft Feet

MW Monitoring well

3.2 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

The Toeroek Team collected groundwater samples from the monitoring wells (MW-1 and MW-2) following completion and development (see Appendix A, Figure 3). At MW-1, samples were collected within the screened interval of 88 to 98 feet bgs. Samples from MW-2 were collected within the screened interval of 123 to 133 feet bgs.

Water quality testing parameters (temperature, conductivity, pH, DO, ORP, and turbidity) were measured during well development by use of a Horiba multiparameter water quality meter, and were recorded onto micropurge groundwater sampling data sheets (Appendix H). Parameters were considered stabilized when values fluctuated no more than 10 percent over three consecutive readings. The wells were then sampled by use of low-flow QED Micropurge equipment. A bladder pump was lowered to the bottom of the well, raised 3 feet into the middle of the screen, and secured in place with hose clamps, and the pumping rate was set to 200 milliliters per minute.

Each groundwater sample was collected for analysis for VOCs into a 40-milliliter (mL) volatile organic analyte (VOA) vial preserved with hydrochloric acid (HCl). Sample vials were labeled and packaged accordingly—placed in a cooler maintained at or below a temperature of 4 degrees Celsius (°C) until submitted for analysis to the EPA Region 7 laboratory on May 29, 2018, under Analytical Services Request (ASR) 7817. Pertinent data, including sample locations and analyses to be performed, were recorded on field sheets (see Appendix D). Table 2 below summarizes sample locations, identification numbers, depths, and laboratory analyses.

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF SAMPLES
FORMER ELECTROLUX, INC. FACILITY, JEFFERSON, IOWA

Sample Location	EPA Sample ID	Screen Interval (ft bgs)	Analyses
MW-1	7817-2	88-98	
MW 2	7817-1	122 122	VOCs
MW-2	7817-1-FD	123-133	VOCS
Trip blank	7817-4-FB	N/A	
Field blank	7817-5-FB	N/A	

Notes:

°N Decimal degrees North

°W Decimal degrees West

FD Field duplicate

ft bgs Feet below ground surface

ID Identification

N/A Not applicable

VOC Volatile organic compound

3.3 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLING

Field quality control (QC) sampling for this sampling investigation included a laboratory-supplied aqueous trip blank. Analytical data from the trip blank were referenced to determine whether contamination had been introduced during transportation of the containers and samples. Additional QC sampling consisted of collecting a field blank. The field blank sample was analyzed to assess field-introduced and laboratory-introduced contamination. One field duplicate sample collected from MW-2 was also submitted to evaluate total method precision. Table 2 above summarizes QC samples collected during the sampling investigation.

3.4 DECONTAMINATION

Drilling operations included use of a temporary equipment decontamination pad and staging area at the Jefferson City Water Plant at 1000 N Cedar Street. The Toeroek Team decontaminated micro-purge sampling equipment prior to first use and after sampling at each location. Decontamination consisted of thoroughly scrubbing the equipment with a non-phosphate detergent solution, and rinsing the equipment with deionized water. Decontamination of additional sampling equipment was not necessary because all other sampling equipment was disposable.

3.5 INVESTIGATION-DERIVED WASTE

Investigation-derived waste (IDW) consisted of expendable sampling supplies, personal protective equipment (PPE), disposable tubing, and drill cuttings. Because well locations were in areas where only groundwater contamination was anticipated, soils were loaded onto a trailer and transported to the Metro Park West Landfill in Perry, Iowa, for disposal. Purge water was containerized in a 330 gallon plastic polyethylene tote tank and disposed of at the City of Jefferson Water Department. Expendable sampling materials and PPE were disposed of as municipal solid waste.

4.0 ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY

During field activities conducted from May 21 through 25, 2018, the Toeroek Team collected groundwater samples to assess the possible presence of downgradient contamination related to historical facility operations. Samples were submitted to the EPA Region 7 laboratory in Kansas City, Kansas, for analysis. The following sections summarize analytical results from the sampling investigation. Field sheets and Chain-of-custody forms are in Appendix D, and the analytical data package is in Appendix E.

4.1 GROUNDWATER SAMPLE RESULTS

The Toeroek Team collected groundwater samples from groundwater monitoring wells installed at MW-1 and MW-2 (see Appendix A, Figure 3). Table 3 summarizes VOC sampling results. The VOC analyte chloroform was detected in all monitoring well samples. The sample collected at MW-1 contained chloroform at $3.1~\mu g/L$. Samples collected at MW-2 contained chloroform at $6.4~J~\mu g/L$ and $8.1~\mu g/L$ (field duplicate). The J code (indicating an acceptable estimated value) for one of the chloroform results from MW-2 was applied due to low recovery of the analyte in the laboratory matrix spike. In no sample did the chloroform concentration exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of $80~\mu g/L$.

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF SAMPLE RESULTS
FORMER ELECTROLUX, INC. FACILITY, JEFFERSON, IOWA

			Chloroform
Sample Location	EPA Sample ID	Screen Interval (ft bgs)	Concentration (µg/L)
MW-1	7817-2	88-98	3.1
) (IV) ()	7817-1	122 122	6.4 J
MW-2	7817-1-FD	123-133	8.1
Trip blank	7817-4-FB	27/4	1.0 U
Field blank	7817-5-FB	N/A	1.0 U

Notes:

bgs Below ground surface FD Field duplicate

MW Monitoring well μg/L Micrograms per liter

ft Feet

J Estimated value

4.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLING

QC samples collected during the sampling investigation included one aqueous trip blank, one field duplicate sample, and one field blank sample. Chloroform was detected at 8.1 μ g/L in the field duplicate sample collected at MW-2. No VOCs were detected in the trip blank or field blank samples.

5.0 DEVIATIONS FROM THE SAP AND QAPP

The following deviations from the EPA-approved SAP and QAPP occurred, and were communicated to the EPA Task Order Contracting Officer's Representative (TOCOR):

- MW-1 was screened from 88 to 98 feet bgs due to geologic conditions. At approximately 104 feet bgs, an impermeable grey shale was encountered. Following consultation with the EPA TOCOR, it was decided to continue drilling to 150 feet bgs. The grey shale was followed by interchanging layers of limestones, sandstones, and coal layers before returning to shale from 135 to 150 feet bgs. No significant sand and gravel was encountered. Upon further consultation with the TOCOR, MW-1 was screened from 88 to 98 feet bgs at the deepest interval thought to be viable for groundwater production.
- MW-2 was screened from 123 to 133 feet bgs due to geologic conditions. At approximately 134 feet bgs, an impermeable grey shale layer was encountered. Following consultation with the EPA TOCOR, it was decided to continue drilling to 150 feet bgs. The grey shale was underlain by a sub-bituminous coal layer from 144.5 to 149.5 feet bgs before returning to shale at 150 feet bgs. Upon further consultation with the TOCOR, MW-2 was screened from 123 to 133 feet bgs in a buff, very fine- to medium-grained, moist sand.
- Total volume of water used during construction of MW-1 was approximately 1,500 gallons.
 During development, MW-1 was purged dry after approximately 15 gallons. The well was allowed to recharge and the pumping rate was lowered to 0.1 gallon per minute (gal/min).
 Recharge was calculated to be approximately 0.08 gal/min. Due to the minimal recharge rate, MW-1 could not be developed as proposed in the QAPP, and water quality parameters were unable to be collected.
- Total volume of water used during construction of MW-2 was approximately 1,200 gallons. Due
 to the considerable amount of time that would have been required to remove the total volume of
 drilling fluids, development of MW-2 was concluded once water quality parameters had
 stabilized and following removal of approximately 350 gallons of water after approximately
 4 hours of pumping at the maximum rate attainable of 1.5 gal/min.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The Toeroek Team received Task Order No. 035 from EPA, under Contract No. EP-W-13-002, to provide assistance to RCRA state and federal program staff in EPA Region 7. Under Technical Directive No. 8 in Option Year 4 for this task order, EPA Region 7 requested that the Toeroek Team, as part of the groundwater sampling investigation, install two permanent monitoring wells downgradient of the former Electrolux, Inc. facility in Jefferson, Iowa.


From May 21 through 25, 2018, investigation activities proceeded to determine whether facility-related contamination had migrated downgradient toward City of Jefferson municipal wells. The Toeroek Team installed and sampled groundwater monitoring wells downgradient of the facility.

Based on data acquired during the sampling investigation, no facility-related contamination was found at downgradient sample locations; however, minor amounts of chloroform were detected in samples collected at MW-1 (3.1 μ g/L) and MW-2 (6.4J μ g/L and 8.1 μ g/L [field duplicate]). In no sample did chloroform concentration exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 80 μ g/L. The minimal quantities of chloroform found in the monitoring well samples may be attributed to the use of chlorinated municipal water used during the drilling process, or it could be a laboratory contaminant.

7.0 REFERENCES

- Golder Associates, Inc. (Golder). 2016. Site Assessment Summary Report, Former Electrolux Home Products, Inc. Facility, Jefferson, Iowa. October.
- Greene County, Iowa Assessor's Office. 2016. Online Parcel Report. Accessed November 15, 2016. http://greeneia.mygisonline.com/
- Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech). 2016. Personal communication regarding Jefferson supply wells. From Brian Mitchell, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 7. To Kirk Mammoliti, Tetra Tech. November 16, 2016.
- Toeroek Associates. (Toeroek). 2017. "Former Electrolux Inc. Facility Groundwater Sampling Event Final Report of Findings, Revision 01". Prepared for EPA Region 7 under Contract No. EP W 13-002. June.
- Toeroek. 2013. "Programmatic Quality Assurance Project Plan, Revision 00." Prepared for EPA Region 7 under Contract No. EP-W-13-002. July.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 1986. Jefferson East, Iowa Quadrangle. 7.5-Minute Topographic Series.

APPENDIX A
FIGURES







APPENDIX B PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Former Electrolux, Inc. Facility Jefferson, Iowa



TETRA TECH PROJECT NO.	DESCRIPTION	This photograph shows the location of MW-2.	1
103G2642035.48.04			Date
Direction: Northeast	PHOTOGRAPHER	Kirk Mammoliti	5/21/2018



TETRA TECH PROJECT NO.	DESCRIPTION	This photograph shows the location of MW-1.	2
103G2642035.48.04			Date
Direction: Southwest	PHOTOGRAPHER	Kirk Mammoliti	5/23/2018

Former Electrolux, Inc. Facility Jefferson, Iowa



TETRA TECH PROJECT NO.	DESCRIPTION	This photograph shows the completed flush mount at MW-2.	3
103G2642035.48.04			Date
Direction: Northeast	PHOTOGRAPHER	Kirk Mammoliti	5/25/2018



TETRA TECH PROJECT NO.	DESCRIPTION	This photograph shows the monitoring well being developed at MW-1.	4
103G2642035.48.04			Date
Direction: Southeast	PHOTOGRAPHER	Kirk Mammoliti	5/25/2018

APPENDIX C FIELD LOGBOOK

KS1614

40



Jechnoline Facility

JeHenson, lower

103 G 26 4035.44.04.06

103 G 26 4035.48.04


If found please return to: Name Tetra Tech. Inc. Address 415 Oak Street Kansas City, MO 6410\$ Phone 8/6-412-1741 Project Electrolux Facility Jefferson, lowa G264035.44.04.06 USA GREEN & Archival


RiteintheRain.com

CONTENTS


PAGE		RE	FEREN	E			DA	TE
			200 200	201423017533320, 35	0.000			
	The state of the s					11.1		
	outros de consequencia de la consequencia della con	enterior de la companya de la compa		mand alle bels bels a			112	


		127						
			erigina (C.) e con erioriamen			Total separation		
			della .	enterento orqueiro - 7.			***************************************	
							Angelija karananan . 4.7	, in 190 Marie
-11-11		- The state of the		200.00				
			***************************************				and the second	
							***************************************	***************************************
•				***************************************				mar si u
	***************************************	***************************************	(1994) - 1 <mark>994)</mark> on Parky (Indian				***************************************	
		Comment		n san min	orponous angue			
							_	
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		- 27					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7	
	Company of the compan	······································	- chi attimitati minani, i				The state of the state of	
		Marine Commence			arend a large	,		
	- Andrewson and the second	Approximation of the second						parely .





additional bentonite necessary to pluy 5-23-18 0700. Arrived on site. Cascade cress boring up to ~ 90' where well is planned to be screened (i.e. decent sond Continuing installation of manitoring well # 2 .layer present @ that depth. currently growting well. 0725 - Completed growting, began pulling up 1640 - Mammo liti returned Completed boring to ~150' (shell + saids fore) Casing. 0750. Completed pulling Casing, began cleanup. 130 - inwhed cleanup - will complete well tomorrow. Intend to backfill boring + will 0830 - Completed Cleanup, crews mobilized for water. screen from 88'- 98' 0900-Began staging equipment & MW-1 location on east side of B. Cadar, South of Re Crossing. 1735 . Oparted back to Carroll to retrieve remainder of beforete purchased for Cascado. 0955 - Began drilling @ MW-1 1800 - Arrived @ Briggs to pick up 1145 - Linch - ended @ 751 remainder of bentonite. 1220 - Resumed boring @ MW-1 1830: Finished loading terrorite. 1430 - Encountexed shall from 102-115' End of Day * Koviewed many nearby logs to determine if conditions are typical. No significant Sand and grave layer was encountered during boring. It nearby well a 0.25 mile west Showed similar Conditions 1450- Called TOCOR Mitchell to discuss. Was informed crew should drill to 150' to Confirm impermeable shale layer is present to such alepth. 1530 - Resumed Doring. 1545. Mammo Life departed for Carroll to retrieve Rite in the Rain.





14	Delivered	15-29-18	1			
1530	Delivered	Samples	RF	laboratory		
	1					Company of the Control of the Contro
200000000000000000000000000000000000000						-
					A	
. 11 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		and the second s				
					- Le	
					and the second second	
			named to the second			
and the constraint of the state			To the second se		A Commence of the Commence of	
	Andrew Control	And the second s	and the second second second second			
200						
			Annual Control of the State of			
						Rite in the Rais

APPENDIX D FIELD SHEETS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Sample Collection Field Sheet US EPA Region 7 Kansas City, KS

ASR Number: 7817	Sample Number:	1 QC Cod	le: Matrix	k: Water Tag	ID: 7817-1
Project ID: BME Project Desc: Elect City: Jeffe	trolux GW RCRA site in		ject Manager: Inpling		* .
	A Corrective Action				
Location Desc:	2 MW-2 e ca	st end of	Adams Stre	ect	
Storet ID:		xternal Samp	ie Number:		
Expected Conc:	(or Circle One:	Low Medium	High)	Date	Time(24 hr)
Latitude: 42,	01832	Sample Colle	ection: Start:	5 /24/18	18:57
Longitude: <u>१५</u>		•			, _:_
Laboratory Analyse	es: Preservative	Holding Time	Analysis		
3 - 40mL VOA vial		14 Days		by GC/MS for Low I	Detection Limits
Sample Comments:					
(N/A) @ MW-	2				
Includes M	SIMSD				
- SWL =	53. FI'				
TD=	53, 71' 133.95'				

Sample Collected By: $\top\!\!\!\top$

Sample Collection Field Sheet US EPA Region 7 Kansas City, KS

ASR Number: 7817	Sample Number: 3	QC Code: Mat	trix: Water Tag I	D: 7817-X-上心
City: Jeffe	trolux GW RCRA site investi		r: Brian Mitcheli	
Location Desc:	MW-2 near east	end of Adams	St	
Storet ID: External Sample Number:				
Expected Conc:	(or Circle One: Low	Medium High)	Date	Time(24 hr)
Latitude: 42	. 01832 Sam	ple Collection: Start	5 /24/18	18:57
Longitude: <u>- १५</u>	<u>, 36333</u>	End	://_	
Laboratory Analys Container 3 - 40mL VOA vial		ng Time Analysis Days 1 VOCs in Wat	er by GC/MS for Low De	etection Limits
Sample Comments:				
(N/A) @ MW	1-2			
@ MW Field Duplica	ile			
SINL =	· 53.71'			
BTOC TO =	53.71° 133.95			

Sample Collected By: $\top\!\!\!\top$

Sample Collection Field Sheet US EPA Region 7 Kansas City, KS

ASR Number:	7817	Sample N	umber:	2	QC Code	: Mat	rix: Water Ta	g ID: 7817-2
Project ID: Project Desc:			RA site in	vestiga	_	_	: Brian Mitchel	I
City:	Jeffers			-			e: Iowa	
Location Desc:	@	MW-1	along	east	side.	st N. (redor- St	
Storet ID:			_ Ex	xterna	i Sample	Number:		
Expected Conc	:	(or Circ	le One: (LOW N	1edium 1	ligh)	Date	Time(24 hr)
Latitude:				Samp	ie Collec	tion: Start:	5/25/18	13:45
Longitude:	-94.	36436 Z				End	: _/_/_	_:_
Laboratory An Container 3 - 40mL VOA vial	Р	reservative Deg C, HCL to		Holding 14		Analysis 1 VOCs in Wate	er by GC/MS for Lo	w Detection Limits
Sample Commo	ents:	,	1					
eMW-	1							
BTOC	- #1)= 9	8.51					

Sample Collected By: $\top\!\!\!\top$

Sample Collection Field Sheet US EPA Region 7 Kansas City, KS

ASR Number:	7817 Sample Numbe	r: 4 QC	Code: FB Ma	atrix: Water Ta	g ID: 7817-4-FB
	BMEJIARCRA Electrolux GW RCRA site			er: Brian Mitchell	
City:	Jefferson RCRA Corrective Action		• 1 • • 1 • 1 • 1 • 1	te: Iowa	
Location Desc:	LDL VOA Trip Blank				
Storet ID:	-	External Sa	mple Number:		
Expected Conc	(or Circle One	e: Low Medi	um High)	Date	Time(24 hr)
Latitude:		Sample C	Collection: Star	t: 5/25/18	14:30
Longitude:			En	d://_	_:_
Laboratory An	alyses:				
Container	Preservative	Holding Tim	e Analysis		
3 - 40mL VOA vial	4 Deg C, HCL to pH<2	14 Day	vs 1 VOCs in Wa	ater by GC/MS for Lov	Detection Limits
Sample Comme	ents:			•	

Trip Blank

Prepared by the LTAB.

Sample Collected By: Π

Sample Collection Field Sheet US EPA Region 7 Kansas City, KS

ASR Number:	7817 Sample Number	r: QC Code: FB	Matrix: Water	Tag ID: 7817-5-FB
	BMEJIARCRA Electrolux GW RCRA site		anager: Brian Mitch	nell
City:	Jefferson RCRA Corrective Action		State: Iowa	
Location Desc:	LDL VOA स्थित Blank			
Storet ID:		External Sample Nun	nber:	
Expected Conc	(or Circle One	: Low Medium High)	Date	Time(24 hr)
Latitude:		Sample Collection:	Start: 5/25/18	14:45
Longitude:			End://	<u>:-</u>
Laboratory An	alyses:			
Container 3 - 40mL VOA vial	Preservative 4 Deg C, HCL to pH<2	Holding Time Analy 14 Days 1 VOC	ysis is in Water by GC/MS for	Low Detection Limits
Sample Comme	ents:			

Prepared in field by Tetra Tech

* Field Blank

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION VII

B Mitchell	S. Mitchell (RCRA) Electrolus GW RCRA, Tetterson, It									} D/	MONTH	DAY YEAR OF	
	200 W				CONTENTS	OF SHIPME	ENT					HORITI	DATE TORK
ASR AND	AL DI ACTIC		TYPE O	F CONTAINE	RS	Vol. of			PLED	-	A OTHER		RECEIVING LABORATORY
SAMPLE NUMBER	1 L PLASTIC BOTTLE	BOTTLE		BOTTLE	BOTTLE	VOA SET (3 VIALS EA)	WATER	arnos	HAZ WASTE	AR	DIMER		REMARKS OTHER INFORMATION (condition of samples upon receipt, other sample numbers, etc.)
7817-1		NUMBER(5)	OF CONTA	UNEKS PEKS	SAMPLE NUMBER	3	X	S	I	2		ank 1	MSD volume
7817-1-40						1	X						d duplicate
7817-2						1	X					Pict	or stupping the
7817 -4-FB						, i	X					Tri	· Blank
7817-5-FB							X					A IIe	+ Blank Id Blank
						1			0		('e	bex	
		ilisi	1	in New	E 60 %								14 30
	0	1 14											5 25 18 11 10
Far Start	1	1											15 45
		1											
				/	199	100 A C A A							
		7/ 6	Y	10									
				12	7		3						
				>	0/		200						
				1	128		\$						
	1000 400					1							
	N. E.	4 1.				14							
Ser.	S. T												
1911 1 34		4 -4 5		141							1.31		
MITTER ON	J# 2		(4)	NAC					/				
- market	14.7 377 V	118					1						
		all all				47.7			40		1		
		505		1									一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个
	DESCRIPTIO	N OF SHI	PMENT	<u> </u>		1 2 4 1.4					MOI	DE OF SH	HIPMENT
CONTAINE	R(S) CONSIS	TING OF _		_CRATE(S) .		_ C	MMC	MER	CIA	L CAF	RRIER	
X DICE CHEST	r(s): OTHER_					-X	SA	AMP	LER	CC	DNVE	YED	(SHIPPING AIRBILL NUMBER)
		1.1		PE	RSONNEL C	USTODY RE	CO	RD					
RELINQUISHED BY (PM			DATE	TIME	RECEIVED B	IY O			1	T	DATE	LK	REASON FOR CHANGE OF CUSTODY
L. Mammo	1171 UNSEAL	18	1530	SEALED ROUNSEALED HS					H	5120	1530	April	
RELINQUISHED BY (PM	M/SAMPLER)		DATE	TIME	RECEIVED B				1	X	DATE	TIME	REASON FOR CHANGE OF CUSTODY
SEALED	UNSEAL	.ED _			SEALED UNSEALED								
RELINQUISHED BY (PM	M/SAMPLER)		DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY D						DATE	TIME	REASON FOR CHANGE OF CUSTODY
SEALED	UNSEAL	.ED _	10		SEALED		UNSI	EAL	ED				
RELINQUISHED BY (PM	M/SAMPLER)		DATE	TIME	RECEIVED B	Y					DATE	TIME	REASON FOR CHANGE OF CUSTODY
SEALED	UNSEAL	ED _			SEALED	Name and Address of the Owner, when the Owner,	UNS	_	_			1	

APPENDIX E ANALYTICAL DATA

United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 300 Minnesota Avenue Kansas City, KS 66101

Date: 06/19/2018

Subject: Transmittal of Sample Analysis Results for ASR #: 7817

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA

Project Description: Electrolux GW RCRA site investigation sampling

From: Margaret E.W. St. Germain, Chief

Laboratory Technology & Analysis Branch Environmental Sciences & Technology Division

To: Brian Mitchell

AWMD/WRAP

Enclosed are the analytical data for the above-referenced Analytical Services Request (ASR) and Project. The Regional Laboratory has reviewed and verified the results in accordance with procedures described in our Quality Manual (QM). In addition to all of the analytical results, this transmittal contains pertinent information that may have influenced the reported results and documents any deviations from the established requirements of the QM.

Please ensure that you file this electronic (.pdf only) transmittal in your records management system. The Regional Laboratory will now retain all of the original hardcopy documentation (e.g. COC[s] and the R7LIMS field sheet[s], etc.) according to our ENST records management system.

Please contact us within 14 days of receipt of this package if you determine there is a need for any changes. Please complete the Online ASR Sample/Data Disposition and Customer Survey for this ASR as soon as possible. The process of disposing of the samples for this ASR will be initiated 30 days from the date of this transmittal unless an alternate release date is specified on the Online ASR Sample/Data Disposition and Customer Survey. It is critical that we receive your response in accordance to RCRA and the laboratory accreditation.

If you have any questions or concerns relating to this data package, contact our customer service line at 913-551-5295.

Enclosures

Summary of Project Information

06/19/2018

Project Manager: Brian Mitchell

Org: AWMD/WRAP

Phone: 913-551-7633

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA

QAPP Number: 2017052

Project Desc: Electrolux GW RCRA site investigation sampling

Location: Jefferson

State: Iowa

Program: RCRA Corrective

Action

Purpose: Compliance Monitoring

GPRA PRC: 000D99

Brian Mitchell

RCRA Corrective Action Officer

EPA Region 7 AWMD/WRAP

Per BMitchell email dated 3/26/18: This ASR is not part of a litigation hold at this time.

Explanation of Codes, Units and Qualifiers used on this report

Sample QC Codes: QC Codes identify the type of sample for quality control purpose.

Units: Specific units in which results are

reported.

__ = Field Sample

ug/L = Micrograms per Liter

FB = Field Blank

FD = Field Duplicate

Data Qualifiers: Specific codes used in conjunction with data values to provide additional information on the quality of reported results, or used to explain the absence of a specific value.

(Blank) = Values have been reviewed and found acceptable for use.

U = The analyte was not detected at or above the reporting limit.

UJ = The analyte was not detected at or above the reporting limit. The reporting limit is an estimate.

J = The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

Sample Information Summary

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA Project Desc: Electrolux GW RCRA site investigation sampling

Sample QC No Code	Matrix	Location Description	External Sample No	Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time	Receipt Date
1	Water	@ MW-2 @ East end of Adams Street		05/24/2018	18:57			05/29/2018
1 - FD	Water	@ MW-2 @ East end of Adams Street		05/24/2018	18:57			05/29/2018
2	Water	@ MW-1 along East side of North Cedar Street		05/25/2018	13:45			05/29/2018
4 - FB	Water	LDL VOA Trip Blank		05/25/2018	14:30			05/29/2018
5 - FB	Water	LDL VOA Field Blank		05/25/2018	14:45			05/29/2018

RLAB Approved Analysis Comments

06/19/2018

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA

Project Desc Electrolux GW RCRA site investigation sampling

Analysis Comments About Results For This Analysis

1 VOCs in Water by GC/MS for Low Detection Limits

Lab: Region 7 EPA Laboratory - Kansas City, Ks.

Method: EPA Region 7 RLAB Method 3230.13F

Samples: 1- 1-FD

D 2-__

4-FB

5-FB

Comments:

The reporting limits for cis-1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-1,3-Dichloropropene and Naphthalene have been raised (to 2ug/L, 2ug/L and 5ug/L, respectively) due to the accuracy issues at the lowest standard(s).

Bromoform (59%, LCL: 66%), Styrene (33 and 32%, LCL: 59%) and m- and/or p-Xylene (80 and 79%, LCL: 84%) were UJ-coded in sample 1. These analytes were not found in the sample at or above the reporting limit, however, the reporting limit is an estimate (UJ-coded) due to low recovery of these analytes in the laboratory matrix spike. The actual reporting limit for these analytes may be higher than the reported value.

Chloroform was J-coded in sample 1. Although the analyte in question has been positively identified in the sample, the quantitation is an estimate (J-coded) due to low recovery of this analyte (94%, LCL: 95%) in the laboratory matrix spike. The actual concentration for this analyte may be higher than the reported value.

Dibromochloromethane was UJ-coded in sample 1. This analyte was not found in the sample at or above the reporting limit, however, the reporting limit is an estimate (UJ-coded) due to poor precision obtained for this analyte in the laboratory matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (6.2%, PCL: 5.0%). The actual reporting limit for this analyte may be higher than the reported value.

RLAB Approved Sample Analysis Results

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA

ASR Number: 7817

Analysis/ Analyte	Units	1	1-FD	2	4-FB
1 VOCs in Water by GC/MS for Low Detection	on Limits				
Acetone	ug/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Benzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Bromoform	ug/L	1.0 UJ	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Bromomethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
2-Butanone	ug/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Chloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Chloroform	ug/L	6.4 J	8.1	3.1	1.0 U
Chloromethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Cyclohexane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ug/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	1.0 UJ	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
Ethyl Benzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
2-Hexanone	ug/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Isopropylbenzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Methyl Acetate	ug/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Methylcyclohexane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	ug/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Naphthalene	ug/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Styrene	ug/L	1.0 UJ	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Toluene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U

RLAB Approved Sample Analysis Results

06/19/2018

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA

Analysis/ Analyte	Units	1	1-FD	2	4-FB
Trichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
m and/or p-Xylene	ug/L	2.0 UJ	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
o-Xylene	ug/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U

ASR Number: 7817 **RLAB Approved Sample Analysis Results**

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA

Analysis/ Analyte	Units	5-FB
1 VOCs in Water by GC/MS for Low Detec		
Acetone	ug/L	5.0 U
Benzene	ug/L	1.0 U
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	1.0 U
Bromoform	ug/L	1.0 U
Bromomethane	ug/L	1.0 U
2-Butanone	ug/L	5.0 U
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	1.0 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	1.0 U
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U
Chloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U
Chloroform	ug/L	1.0 U
Chloromethane	ug/L	1.0 U
Cyclohexane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ug/L	5.0 U
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	1.0 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	2.0 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	2.0 U
Ethyl Benzene	ug/L	1.0 U
2-Hexanone	ug/L	5.0 U
Isopropylbenzene	ug/L	1.0 U
Methyl Acetate	ug/L	5.0 U
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ug/L	1.0 U
Methylcyclohexane	ug/L	1.0 U
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	1.0 U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	ug/L	5.0 U
Naphthalene	ug/L	5.0 U
Styrene	ug/L	1.0 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U
Toluene	ug/L	1.0 U
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	1.0 U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	1.0 U
	- Consider W	

ASR Number: 7817 RLAB Approved Sample Analysis Results

06/19/2018

Project ID: BMEJIARCRA Project Desc: E

Analysis/ Analyte	Units	5-FB
Trichloroethene	ug/L	1.0 U
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/L	1.0 U
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	ug/L	1.0 U
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	1.0 U
m and/or p-Xylene	ug/L	2.0 U
o-Xylene	ug/L	1.0 U

APPENDIX F
BORING LOGS

									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
L							Вс	oring	Log Form	
1 3	Site	Naı	me:	Former	Electro	lux			Boring Number: MW-1	
-						5/23/2018				
			Meth		Rotary					
_	Drilling Company: Cascade Drilling Elevation: 1050.30 ft Total Depth: 150 feet									
-		_				65°, -94.369	299420	9°	Total Depth: 150 feet	
_			o Wat			, , , , , , , ,	200 120		Geologist: L. Holt	
]	Proj	ject	Numb	oer:	103G2	642035.48	.04.06		Weather: Sunny, Warm	
Sample	Interval	Interval	Soil Recv.	PID Reading (ppm or ppb)	Depth (Feet)	Color (Munsell or Rock)	Lithology	Graphic Log	Description and Remarks	
									Top soil, brown, damp.	
			I I		_ _ _ _ _		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, sandy with small gravel, tan-brown with iron mottling , soft, plastic; moist.	
		, 			_ _ 		8			
		li o	e	,	_ _ _		SP		SAND, tan, fine grained, damp.	
					- 15 			-	CLAY, silty, with small gravel, brown, soft, plastic; moist.	
				-			CL/ML	·	CLAY, silty, with small gravel and trace amounts of very fine grained sand, brown-grey, firm, non-plastic; damp.	
					_ _		30 30 30		CLAY as above, grading to dark grey in color, and increasing sand content with depth.	
					_ _ 			st.	SAND, clayey, silty, grey, very fine to fine grained; moist.	

	,						Во	ring	Log Form	
s	ite Na	me:		Former	Electro	olux			Boring Number: MW-1	
D	ate D	rilled	3) t	Start/Fir	nish):	5/23/2018	*			
D	rilling	Me	tho	d:	Rotary	/ Sonic				
D	Drilling Company: Cascade Drilling									
_	levati			1050.30					Total Depth: 150 feet	
					271633	65°, -94.369	2994209)°		
_	Depth to Water: Geologist: L. Holt									
<u> </u>	roject	Nui	mb		103G2	2642035.48.	04.06		Weather: Sunny, Warm	
Sample	Interval	Soil Recv.		PID Reading (ppm or ppb)	Depth (Feet)	Color (Munsell or Rock)	Lithology	Graphic Log	Description and Remarks	
					_ ı		SC/SM		SAND, clayey, silty, grey, very fine to fine grained; moist.	
					_ 35		CL/SC		Clayey SAND/sandy CLAY, grading from grey to dark grey, very fine grained, firm; damp.	
							CL/ML		CLAY, silty, with small gravel and trace amounts of very fine grained sand, brown-grey, firm, moderately plastic; damp.	
2					_ _ _ _ 				CLAY, as above, with inscreasing sand content.	
					- -		SC/SM	н	SAND, clayey, silty, tan-grey, very fine to coarse grained with small gravel; damp.	
					 		CL/ML		CLAY, sandy, silty, with small gravel, tan-yellow with iron mottling, very fine to coarse grained, increasing sand content with depth, non-plastic; damp.	
				101	_ _ 		SC/SM		SAND, clayey, silty, brown-buff with iron mottling; damp.	

Boring Log Form Boring Number: MW-1 Site Name: Former Electrolux 5/23/2018 Date Drilled (Start/Finish): **Drilling Method:** Rotary Sonic **Drilling Company:** Cascade Drilling Elevation: 1050.30 ft Total Depth: 150 feet Coordinates: 42.0227163365°, -94.3692994209° Depth to Water: Geologist: L. Holt Weather: Sunny, Warm **Project Number:** 103G2642035.48.04.06 PID Reading (ppm or ppb) Soil Recv. Lithology Graphic Log Sample Interval Interval Color Depth (Feet) (Munsell **Description and Remarks** or Rock) SAND, clayey, silty, tan with iron mottling, very fine to SC/SM coarse grained with well rounded small gravel, increasing coarseness with depth; damp. 65 CLAY, silty, tan with iron mottling, thin sand lenses at 67 and 70 ft, moderately firm, moderately plastic; damp. 70 CLAY, silty, tan-orange, thin sand lenses at 71, 73, and 75 ft, moderately firm, moderately plastic; damp. 75 CL/ML 80 CLAY, silty, with trace amounts of sand and small gravel, brown grading to dark grey, increasing sand content with depth, moderately firm, moderately plastic; damp. 85 SAND, clayey, silty, tan with iron staining, very fine to SC/SM medium grained; moist. 90

					7		Вс	ring	Log Form
<u>s</u>	ite l	Var	ne:	Forme	r Electro	olux		£	Boring Number: MW-1
_				(Start/F		5/23/2018			
_			Meth		Rotary				
_		_		pany:		de Drilling			
_	leva			1050.3		6E° 04.360	2004200	10	Total Depth: 150 feet
_			Wa		21 1033	65°, -94.369	2994209		Geologist: L. Holt
_			Num		103G2	642035.48.0	04.06		Weather: Sunny, Warm
Ë	T	T			1	12000.10.0	1.00		wouldn't cumy, warm
Sample	Interval		Soil Recv.	PID Reading (ppm or ppb)	Depth (Feet)	Color (Munsell or Rock)	Lithology	Graphic Log	Description and Remarks
						2	SC/SM		SAND, clayey, silty, tan, medium well rounded grains, poorly graded; moist.
			ı		E		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, sandy, dark grey, moderately firm, moderately plastic; damp.
		L	\perp		_ 95		SP	*1	SAND, grey-tan, medium to coarse well rounded grains; damp to moist.
					_		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, sandy, dark grey, with small gravel and trace amounts very fine grained sand; damp.
					100		SP-SC		SAND, clayey, grey, very fine to fine grained; moist.
					9		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, sandy, with small gravel, dark grey becoming mottled with orange, red and black, moderately firm; damp.
		ı					С		COAL, black, sub-bituminous, soft; dry.
		L	┖		105		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, transitioning to SHALE; dry.
			*		_ _ _				SHALE, grey, with dark grey, red, brown, orange, and purple mottling, waxy, friable.
		ŀ	t		110 		SH		SHALE, grey with red mottling grading to all grey, waxy, hard.
					_ _ 	a			SHALE, tan-brown grading to brown-grey, waxy, hard.
					_ _ _ _ _ 120	,	SH LS SST		Difficult drilling between 115 and 135 ft lead to poor recovery which was reduced to a 5 ft interval containing only the hardest materials. Recovered materials consisted of had SHALE, LIMESTONE, and SANDSTONE.

							В	oring	Log Form			
	Sit	e Na	me:	Forme	r Electro	olux			Boring Number: MW-1			
						5/23/2018						
-			Metho		Rotary							
		illing evati		oany: 1050.3		de Drilling			Total Depth: 150 feet			
-						65°, -94.369	9299420	9°	Total Depth. 100 lock			
-			o Wat						Geologist: L. Holt			
-	Pro	oject	Numb	er:	103G2	642035.48.	04.06		Weather: Sunny, Warm			
Sample	Sample Interval Interval Soil Recv. PID Reading (ppm or ppb) Depth (Feet) (Peet) Lithology Craphic Log						Lithology	Graphic Log	Description and Remarks			
							SH LS SST		Difficult drilling between 115 and 135 ft lead to poor recovery which was reduced to a 5 ft interval containing only the hardest materials. Recovered materials consisted of had SHALE, LIMESTONE, and SANDSTONE. SHALE, grey, waxy, soft. SHALE, dark brown, waxy, soft. COAL, black, sub-bituminous, soft; dry.			
					_ _ _ _ 	,	SH		SHALE, grey, hard.			

L	8						В	oring	Log Form			
l	Sit	e Na	me:	Former	Electr	olux			Boring Number: MW-2			
						5/21/2018						
				hod:		y Sonic			,			
l		vati		npany: 1058.0		ade Drilling		2	Total Danth, 450 fact			
ı						557°, -94.36	333134	42°	Total Depth: 150 feet			
L				ater:		, , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	12	Geologist: L. Holt			
	Pro	oject	Nur	nber:	103G	2642035.48	3.04		Weather: Partly Cloudy, Warm, Breezy			
Sample	S 1 dd)							Graphic Log	Description and Remarks			
			×		-		CL/ML		6 inches Top Soil Silty clay / Clayey Silt, dark brown, firm, non-plastic; damp.			
					_ _ 5				CLAY, silty, medium brown transitioning to tan, trace very fine grained sand, increasing moisture and plasticity with depth.			
					10	w "			CLAY, silty, sandy, medium brown, soft, plastic, fine to coarse grained with small gravel; moist.			
			\downarrow		_ _ 				CLAY, silty, sandy, medium brown with iron mottling, very fine to fine grained, moderately firm, moderately plastic; damp.			
					- - -		CL		CLAY, silty, sandy, tan-brown, very fine to coarse grained with small gravel, soft, plastic; moist			
					20				CLAY, as above becoming firm, non-plastic; damp.			
									CLAY, silty, sandy, tan-brown, medium grained, very soft, plastic; moist.			
					_ _ 				CLAY, as above becoming firm and moderately plastic, with increasing grain size; damp.			
			25 - - - - - 30		CLAY, sandy, dark grey-brown, very fine to coarse grained, moderately plastic, firm; moist.							

							NI.	Во	ring	Log Form		
	Sit	e Na	me	:	Former	Electro	olux			Boring Number: MW-2		
	Da	te Dı	rille	d (Start/Fir	nish):	5/21/2018					
-		lling					y Sonic					
-				_	any:		ade Drilling			Total Bourder 450 foot		
-		evati	_	_	1058.01		557°, -94.36	33313//	2°	Total Depth: 150 feet		
-		pth 1				331100	307 , -34.30	10001044		Geologist: L. Holt		
-	Project Number: 103G2642035.48.04							3.04		Weather: Partly Cloudy, Warm, Breezy		
Sample	Soil Recv. PID Reading (ppm or ppb) Depth (Feet) Lithology						(Munsell	Lithology	Graphic Log	Description and Remarks		
						_ _ _ _ _ _ 35		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, sandy, dark grey-brown, very fine to coarse grained with small gravel, moderately plastic, firm; moist.		
E				40		SC/SM		SAND, silty, clayey, grey-tan, fine to coarse grained, with small gravel; moist to wet.				
						_ 				CLAY, sandy, silty, dark grey, very fine to fine grained, moderately plastic; moist.		
	45			CL/ML	u	CLAY, sandy, silty, dark grey-tan, fine to coarse grained with small gravel, decreasing sand content with depth; moist.						
						CLAY, silty, sandy, dark grey-tan, medium to coarse grained, with small gravel, firm, non-plastic; damp.						
						<u>-</u>		CL/SC		Sandy CLAY to clayey SAND, dark grey, iron mottling, very fine to fine grained, with small gravel; damp.		
						_ _ 		CL/ML		Silty CLAY to clayey SILT, sandy, orange-brown, very fine to fine grained, non-plastic, hard; damp.		

							В	oring	Log Form	
s	Site	Na	me:	Former	Electr	olux			Boring Number: MW-2	
1 0	at	e Dı	rilled	(Start/Fi	nish):	5/21/2018				
_				hod:	Rotar	y Sonic				
_				npany:		ade Drilling				
_		vatio		1058.0					Total Depth: 150 feet	
					831186	657°, -94.36	333134	42°		
	_		o W						Geologist: L. Holt	
냳	ro	ject	Nun	nber:	103G	2642035.4	8.04		Weather: Partly Cloudy, Warm, Breezy	
Sample	Sample Interval Interval Soil Recv. PID Reading (ppm or ppb) Depth (Feet) Lithology					(Munsell	Lithology	Graphic Log	Description and Remarks	
					_		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, orange-brown, with small gravel and trace amounts of fine grained sand; damp.	
				65		CL/IVIL		CLAY, silty, with small gravel, orange-brown with grey and tan mottling; damp.		
				F		SP/GP		SAND and GRAVEL, tan-orange, fine to coarse grained; damp.		
					⊢		SP		SAND, tan, very fine to medium grained; damp.	
					70 - - - - 75 -	CL/ML	./ML	CLAY, silty, with small gravel, grey with iron mottling, non-plastic, very hard, damp.		
					80 - - 85 - - 90		CL/ML		CLAY, sandy, silty, with small gravel, grey-tan, very fine to coarse grained, non-plastic, hard; damp.	

							В	oring	Log Form				
D		rille	d (inish):	5/21/2018			Boring Number: MW-2				
_	rilling					Sonic							
_				any:		de Drilling		11	Total Bouthy 450 foot				
_	evati		_	1058.0		S57° -04 36	333134	12°	Total Depth: 150 feet				
	Coordinates: 42.0183118657°, -94.3633313442° Depth to Water:								Geologist: L. Holt				
_	oject				103G2	2642035.48	.04		Weather: Partly Cloudy, Warm, Breezy				
Sample Interval Interval Soil Recv. PID Reading (ppm or ppb) Depth (Feet) Lithology Caphic Log							Lithology	Graphic Log					
8			c c		_ _ _ _ _ _ 95				CLAY, silty, with trace amounts of small gravel, dark greytan, non-plastic, hard; damp.				
					100		CL/ML		CLAY, silty, sandy, tan grading to tan-grey, non-plastic, stiff, hard; damp.				
							SP		SAND, tan, fine grained, well sorted; damp. SAND, tan, medium to coarse grained; moist. SAND, silty, tan, fine to medium grained; moist. SAND, pinkish tan with some black mottling, soft; moist.				

L							В	oring	Log Form			
١.	Sit	e Na	me:	Forme	r Electr	olux			Boring Number: MW-2			
						5/21/2018						
			Meth			Sonic						
				pany:		de Drilling						
		evati		1058.0		257° 04.26	22242	4400	Total Depth: 150 feet			
			o Wat		831180	657°, -94.36	033313	442	Geologist: L. Holt			
			Num		1030	32642035.4	18 04		Weather: Partly Cloudy, Warm, Breezy			
H	_							r				
Sample	Interval	Interval	Soil Recv.	PID Reading (ppm or ppb)	Depth (Feet)	Color (Munsell or Rock)	Lithology	Graphic Log	Description and Remarks			
							SP		SAND, buff, very fine to fine grained, soft; damp.			
	130			SC		SAND, clayey, buff-grey with iron staining, very fine grained, soft, decreasing clay content with depth; damp.						
						SH		SHALE, dark grey grading to light grey, waxy, friable; dry.				
					С		COAL, black, sub-bituminous, soft, contains minor amounts of pyrite; dry. SHALE, light grey, waxy, friable; dry.					

APPENDIX G MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FORMS

Tetra Tech Monitoring Well Construction Log

Project Name:	Former Electrolux		Well No:	MW-1	Date: May 24, 2018
Project No:	103G2642035.48.04	Drilling Method:	Rotary Son	ic	4 42 12
			Cascade Di	rilling	
Geologist:	L. Holt		Schofield,	Wisconsin	
		*			

10"

NA

NA

NA

NA NA

98.30 ft

0.35 ft

88 ft


10 ft 88-98 ft

10 slot

79 ft


86 ft

Steel Vault



Tetra Tech Monitoring Well Construction Log

Project Name:	Former Electrolux		Well No:	MW-2	Date: May 21-22, 2018
Project No:	103G2642035.48.04	Drilling Method:	Rotary Son	ic	
			Cascade Dr	illing	
Geologist:	L. Holt		Schofield, V	Wisconsin	
		5 19 5 11 12 12	2 = 1 = 1 =		



a.	Total Boring Depth:	151 ft (backfilled to 134 ft)
b.	Boring Diameter:	9"
c.	Diameter of Protective Casing	6"
d.	Length of Protective Casing: Type of Protective Casing:	10" Steel Vault
e.	Appx. Top of Annular Seal: Type of Seal Used: Por	rtland Cement and Bentonite Mix
	Surface Casing Length/Diamet Type of Surface Casing: Secondary Casing Length/Diamet	NA
	Depth of Centralizer(s) if any: Type of Centralizer(s):	NA NA
f.	Total Riser Casing Length: Length of Sediment Sump: Casing Type:	133.95 ft 0.35 ft Schedule 40 PVC
g.	Inner Diameter:	2"
h.	Depth to Screen:	123 ft
i.	Screen Length: Screen Interval: Screen/Slot Type:	10 ft 123-133 ft 10 slot
j.	Top of Bentonite Seal:	113 ft
k.	Thickness of Seal: Type of Seal Material:	Cetco 3/8" Pellets
1.	Depth to Top of Filter Pack: Type of Filter Pack:	Red Flint # 40 Silica Filter Sand
m. n.	Elevation of T/Casing: Surface Elevation:	1058.01 ft amsl

APPENDIX H MONITORING WELL DEVELOPMENT FORMS



Well Development Data Sheet

Samp	Well Name: Site Name/Location: Project Number: Purge Date: Sampling Personnel: Sample ID: Sample Date/Time: Duplicate Sample ID:		- Electrol 64203 118 moliti, L 18 18			SWL After Drawdown 3 well volume	2" 133.95'bra. 123-133		
11.44		gallmin) Protection of the second	Water Qu	ality Informat	ion	ms/cm		
Time	Volume Purged (Gallons)	Discharge Rate (mL/min)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	Eh/ORP (mV)	Temp (C)	Sp. Cond (umhos/cm/°C)	Turbidity (NTU)	Depth to Water (ft)
1815	275	1.5	0.78	8.18	-299	17.41	0.926	0.0	
1820			4.49	8.26	- 233	17.08	0.822	440	
1825	1 195 5		6.25	8.04	-293	15.69	0.867	294	
1830			0.43	7.95	-315	14.89	0.825	244	
1835			0.34	7.89	-309	14.47	0.908	224	
1840			רה.ס	7.82	-260	14.67	0.933	1774	
1845			0.62	7.81	-284	14.41	0.879	99.2	
1350			0.59	7.80	-297	14.07	0.833	117	1
1855			0.56	7.77	-297	13.99	0.828	88.5	
	P								
						4		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Notes: Atlernate su Comments:	urging and purgi	ng for a minir	mum of 2 hou	rs. Surge ~	15 min follow	ved by pumpir			
1515 - 1558 - 1630 -	-1549 1622 -1640 *di -1709 -131 -1802	dnitget ver	ydivty		Surging Inter 1549 - 19 1640 - 1769 - 1731 - 1802 -	558	Collecte	volume.)

Well Development Data Sheet

MI II	Well Name:		<u> </u>]		Well Diameter:	2"	
Site f	Name/Location:	MW-	Electroli	IJ			Well Depth:	98.30	of btec
	roject Number:	104 1104	OH-CHOIC	<i></i>			Screen Interval:		101-0
	Purge Date:	5/25/18	2		- 1-		ic Water Level:	25.6'	bloc
Samp	ling Personnel:		nmoliti, l	. Holst) i i				
J		<u> </u>	and the first	. 11000	1 -	SWL After			
	Sample ID:	7817	-2			Drawdowr			
Sam	ple Date/Time:	5/25/	18 12	3:45			s (max. purge):		
=	ate Sample ID:	0,00	<u>,,,</u>	<i>7.</i> 10			miscible Layer:		
Dupino	ate cample io.	L			<u>.</u>		moorbic Layer.		
		galla	in/	Water Qu	ality Informa	tion	ms/cm		
Time	Volume Purged (Gallons)	Discharge Rate (mL/min)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН	Eh/ORP (mV)	Temp (C)	Sp. Cond	Turbidity (NTU)	Depth to Water (ft)
1345	~20	1.4	5.50	7.77	~8	24.99	1.01	C*	
1370	20	1.7	5.50	1. 1 1	O	24.99	1.01	0	
	<u> </u>				4 - 1 - 1			, -()	
					2 2 1		* Woder	s visibl	y turbic
	<u> </u>						SUNSEY	mateur	noite
	N 41								
				10 E 10 E					
	2 2 2 2 2 2		E 200 10 10 1						
Notes: Atlernate su	Notes: Atlernate surging and purging for a minimum of 2 hours. Surge ~ ~ /, 4 gal/min				15 min follo	ved by pumpir	ng ~ 20 min or u	ntil after it clear	rs up.
Comments		7/7	-Jos /11/11			nok	1/1001	ant dre	Alman
Comments: Pumping Inte		andared dru	. Driller Repliz		Surging Inter		to rece	narge Will	Mount pump when subsident for rechast Dogalphin
1082-	See note	was not	en the way is	n the well			11118 Sh	Il waiting	Por recharge
1120 -	1156 Dru			in the h	1225 - 1230 1/36 fumping			uping at o.	25 galpin
1230 -	- 12915 Dh	Dodnar	Si NO	11 5 10 1	1252	<u> </u>			
i i i i			_						
							·		

APPENDIX I MONITORING WELL SURVEY DATA

		WEL	A CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE P	EF Enso	v	SFO	5/29/18	sunny 90°
ELE	CTROL	UX SIT	E					
- Company of the Comp	A15	11603	2: -/-			CHECKED ELEV	NGS BM	asus
The state of the s		and the last of the last	dy talk and	Action 1				CLASS Z
CONFIC	: IA	RTN-	N4V83	-612	4	2 848.0		HOT XIC
COORD	S: IA	SVORTH	1	14.14		N SIDE HWY	30 C E 5 NO	THE RESIDENCE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PART
					*	NAVD 88 OR		
TRIMBL	E TABL	ET-R10)			1041.44 CM		
BM 100	34725	89_	468502	5_	1054.45	RR SPIKE 1	U IST PP S	OF UF
				1.12.13		E. SIDE OF	V CEDAR	
BM 101	3470885		4684958		1056.97	N. CAP BOL	Tou HYDT.	sw cor
			1		1057.03 GB	N. CEDAR & A	DAMS	
BM 102	34708	76_	468673	3—	1062.14	RR FRICE IN	1 4457 88	E. END
						E. ADAMS, 5,		
						MW SOUTH CU	(RIM)	
**************************************						3470916.7	46868489	1058.0
	TET	RA TEC	-					
	יבי ש	IVW IEC	-11			MW NORTH C	u. RM)	
The state of					1-1			

G\$V\$ 055

END OF

1058.01


1050.28

Lauren Holt Geologist

415 Oak Street, Kansas City, MO 64106
Direct +1.816.412.1760 Cell +1.913.951.7812 Fax +1.816.410.1748
lauren.holt@tetratech.com tetratech.com

TRIM	3LE	57	LEVEZ	- H.T.	
HT 1	9	135	1058:01		
BM 102			106214		
TP 1			1055,-688		
TP2			1054.924		
BM 101			1056,971	1057.03	CAPS
TP3			1056,164	1111	
TP4			1049.267		
BM100			1054.45		
nw N		- 1-1-1-1	1050.30		
			II		
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
			IP TO THE PARTY OF		
	- 160				
4000	3.27	.217			
		a la			
			1277	.11111	
	11.11 11.11 11.11			111111111111111111111111111111111111111	31777





MONITORING WELLS ELECTROLUX SITE JEFFERSON, IOWA

BM100

3472589'

4685025'

1054.45'

R.R. SPIKE IN 1ST POWER POLE SOUTH OF U.P. TRACKS

EAST SIDE OF CEDAR STREET.

BM101

3470885'

4684958'

1056.97

NORTH CAP BOLT ON FIRE HYDRANT, SOUTHWEST CORNER OF N. CEDAR & E. ADAMS

BM102

34708761

4686733'

1062.14

R.R. SPIKE IN LAST POWER POLE AT THE EAST END OF E. ADAMS STREET

MW-NORTH

3472538.3

4685043.7'

1050.31

MW-SOUTH

3470916.7'

4686648.91

1058.01